

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

\* \* \*

DANIEL P. KLAHN, SR.,

**Plaintiff,**

V.

MIRANDA NADING, COLETTE CARTER,  
and REX MAUGHAN,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:16-cv-02718-APG-VCF

**ORDER DENYING EX PARTE  
EMERGENCY MOTION**

(ECF No. 16)

Plaintiff Daniel Klahn filed an “Ex Parte Emergency Motion to Set Aside and/or Stay Eviction.” ECF No. 16. That motion is defective for several reasons.

12 First, the caption of the motion indicates that it should have been filed in a different court  
13 and a different lawsuit. The caption lists as plaintiff "Cottonwood Cove Resort and Marina,"  
14 which is not a party to this lawsuit. Moreover, Mr. Klahn is listed in the caption of the motion as  
15 the defendant, but he is the plaintiff in this case. None of the other parties to this case are listed in  
16 the motion's caption.

17       Second, the motion does not explain why it was filed ex parte. “An ex parte motion or  
18 application is a motion or application that is filed with the court but is not served on the opposing  
19 or other parties.” Local Rule IA 7-2(a). Motions are to be served on all parties except as  
20 specifically permitted by the Local Rules or the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *Id.* Because  
21 the motion offers no reason why it was not served on the other parties, I will order the motion  
22 served on the other parties.

23        Third, Local Rule 7-4 requires that any motion seeking emergency relief must set forth the  
24        nature of the emergency, the contact information for the movant and all affected parties, and a  
25        certification that the movant conferred with the other side in an attempt to resolve the dispute. *See*  
26        Local Rule 7-4(a). The motion contains none of these details. For instance, the motion does not  
27        explain whether the eviction has already happened. If it has, the request for a stay would be moot.

1 and the motion would be a non-emergency; if it has not yet happened, the date of the eviction would  
2 dictate whether this is an emergency. If the eviction occurred by court order, the motion does not  
3 explain how this court has jurisdiction to set aside that other court's order. Like ex parte motions,  
4 “[e]mergency motions should be rare.” Local Rule 7-4(b). The plaintiff's motion is not of that rare  
5 category.

6 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the clerk of the court shall **serve the motion (ECF**  
7 **No. 16)** on all parties.

8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the plaintiff's motion (**ECF No. 16**) is **DENIED**  
9 **WITHOUT PREJUDICE.**

10 DATED this 12<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2017.

11   
12 ANDREW P. GORDON  
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28